Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/563,087	SUMIYA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	SEYED M MALEKZADEH	1791
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Amended after nonfinal rejection</u>		
(1) SEYED M MALEKZADEH. 5 mm	(3)	•
(2) <u>ALEN E. SCHIAVELLI</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>13 December 2007</u>	Time: <u>3:00 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: NONE		·
Claims discussed: 1-8 and 20-23		
Prior art documents discussed: None		•
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
·		
<u>.</u>		
·		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner's amendemnt was proposed on 12/13/2007 in respect to claims 1-8 and 20-23 and authorization was given to examiner on 12/19/2007 by the applicant's representative, Mr. Alan Schiavelli, for claims amendments to cancel claim 1 and adding limitations of claim 1 to claim 4 and also to cancel claims 5-8 and 20-23 because claims 5-8 and 20-23 are drawn to another group of invention.